

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,417	09/27/2001	Richard Charles Allen	56233US002	3811
32692 7	7590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
	PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/965,417	ALLEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t	he cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	N IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sam this application, applicant must timely file one of the following repli places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 time periods:	tes: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which opeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) 7 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the fin	-
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than 5 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY	•
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension ar under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance w filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerati (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	on and/or search (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspo NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	* **
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will n how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-11 and 13-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficie was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and wa	e all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the sREQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N	OT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB	/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
13. Other:	M. Varget
	Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner
	Art Unit: 1732 7/17/06

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The aspect of providing a reflective polarizing film on a roll is a new issue which has never been raised and would require additional search and consideration.